	Case 3:17-md-02801-JD Document 10	66 Filed 01/06/20 Page 1 of 5		
1 2				
$\frac{2}{3}$				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
14 15	IN RE CAPACITORS ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MDL No. 3:17-md-02801-JD Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD		
16	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AS TO		
17	ALL INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AS TO NICHICON DEFENDANTS		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22 23				
23				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	[Proposed] Final Judgment of Dismissal with Prejudice as t	to Nichicon Defendants;		
	MDL No. 3:17-md-02801-JD; Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD			
- 1				

Case 3:17-md-02801-JD Document 1066 Filed 01/06/20 Page 2 of 5

1 This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any cause why this 2 Court should not enter Final Judgment as to Nichicon Corporation and Nichicon (America) 3 Corporation (collectively, "Nichicon"). The Court, having reviewed (1) the Settlement 4 Agreement between Plaintiffs Michael Brooks, CAE Sound, Steve Wong, Toy-Knowlogy Inc., 5 AGS Devices, Ltd., J&O Electronics, Nebraska Dynamics, Inc., Angstrom, Inc., MakersLED, and In Home Tech Solutions, Inc., individually and on behalf of the Indirect Purchaser Class 6 7 they seek to represent, on the one hand, and Nichicon, on the other hand, dated September 27, 8 2018 ("Settlement Agreement"), (2) Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of 9 Settlements with ELNA, Matsuo, Nichicon, and Panasonic, (3) the pleadings and other papers on 10 file in this Action, and (4) the statements of counsel and the parties, including at the January 23, 11 2020 Fairness Hearing, hereby finds no just reason to delay the entry of Final Judgment under 12 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("Rule") 54(b). Accordingly, the Court directs entry of 13 Judgment, which shall constitute a final adjudication of the above-captioned action ("Action") on the merits as to Nichicon in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. 14

- 15
- 16

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, AJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

Good cause appearing therefore:

17 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, the actions 18 within this litigation, and the parties to the Settlement Agreements, including all members of the 19 Settlement Class.

20

2. For purposes of this Judgment, except as otherwise set forth herein, the Court 21 adopts and incorporates the definitions contained in the Settlement Agreement as though they 22 were fully set forth in this Final Judgment. Specifically, "Class," as defined in the Settlement 23 Agreement, means:

- All persons and entities in the United States who, during the period from April 1, 2002 to February 28, 2014, purchased one or more Electrolytic Capacitor(s) from a distributor (or from an entity other than a Defendant) that a Defendant or alleged co-conspirator manufactured. Excluded from the Class are Defendants, their parent companies, subsidiaries and Affiliates, any co-conspirators, Defendants' attorneys in this case, federal government entities and instrumentalities, states and their subdivisions, all judges assigned to this case, all jurors in this case, and all persons and entities who directly purchased Capacitors from Defendants.
- 28

24

25

26

27

Case 3:17-md-02801-JD Document 1066 Filed 01/06/20 Page 3 of 5

Those persons and entities identified in the list attached hereto as Exhibit A are
 validly excluded from the Class. Such persons and entities are not included in or bound by this
 Judgment. Such persons and entities are not entitled to any recovery of the settlement proceeds
 obtained in connection with the Settlement Agreement.

4. The Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice IPPs' claims against
Nichicon, with each party to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees, except as provided in the
7 Settlement Agreement.

8 5. All persons and entities who are Releasors under the terms of the Settlement
9 Agreement are hereby barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, or continuing, either
10 directly or indirectly, any claim against the Releasees, as defined in the Settlement Agreement, in
11 this or any other jurisdiction arising out of, or related to, any of the Released Claims.

12 6. The Releasees are hereby and forever released from all Released Claims as13 defined in the Settlement Agreement.

7. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court herebyretains continuing jurisdiction over:

- a. implementation of these settlements and any distribution to members of the Settlement Class pursuant to further orders of this Court;
 - b. disposition of the Settlement Fund;

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- c. determining attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, interest and Class Representative incentive awards;
 - d. the Action until Final Judgment contemplated hereby has become effective;
 - e. hearing and ruling on any matters relating to the plan of allocation of settlement proceeds; and
 - f. all parties to the Action and Releasing Parties, for the purpose of enforcing and administering the Settlement Agreement and the mutual releases and other documents contemplated by, or executed in connection with, the Agreement.
- 8. This document constitutes a final judgment and separate documents for purposes
 of Rule 58(a).

[Proposed] Final Judgment of Dismissal with Prejudice as to Nichicon Defendants; MDL No. 3:17-md-02801-JD; Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD Ш

1	9. The Court finds that, pursuant to Rule 54(a) and (b), Final Judgment should be
2	entered and further finds that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of Final Judgment, as
3	to the parties to the Settlement Agreements. Accordingly, the Clerk is hereby directed to enter
4	Final Judgment forthwith.
5	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	
8	Dated:, 2020
9	JAMES DONATO
10	United States District Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	[Proposed] Final Judgment of Dismissal with Prejudice as to Nichicon Defendants;
	MDL No. 3:17-md-02801-JD; Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD 3

1

2

EXHIBIT A

Requests for Exclusion from Nichicon Settlement

; 	Name	Exclusion Request Timely
	Jeanne Pogorzelski	Yes
;	Bruce Young	Yes
	Rick Smith	Yes
5	Jonathan C. Neisch	Yes
,	Erik M. Sauber	Yes
	Donald G. Becker	Yes
3	William B. Higinbotham	Yes
	Mike Svela	Yes
)	Perry Jennings	Yes
)	Larry Kiser	Yes
'	Ed Polakoff	Yes
	Michael Gillette	Yes
	AssetGenie, Inc dba AGiRepair	Yes
2	Dell Inc on behalf of itself and its wholly-owned	Yes
	subsidiaries	
;	Eleanor Mae Wolf	Yes
,	Jeff Hoffman	Yes
	Greg Bower	Yes
;	Michael DeSoto	Yes
	Pyramid One, Inc	Yes
5	DeSoto Labs, Inc.	Yes
,	Stanley W Vikla	Yes
	James M. Tylman, Sr.	Yes
3	Bourgeois & Associates, Inc	Yes
	Burge Trucking	Yes
)	Group Spectral	Yes
)	Jacob Swary	Yes
'	Tech 22	Yes
	Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of	Yes
	America, Division of Panasonic Corporation of	
2	North America	
;		
+		
;		
5		
7		
;		
11	posed] Final Judgment of Dismissal with Prejudice as to Nichicon	Defendants;
MDI	L No. 3:17-md-02801-JD; Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD	